



Blade Kinematics of a Fully Articulated Helicopter Main Rotor in SU2

> Authors: <u>M. Morelli</u>, B.Y. Zhou, A. Guardone

OUTLINE

1. Part – I

- Modelling Helicopters in Forward Flight
- Implementation within SU2

2. Part – II

 Numerical Results of Icing Simulations





ROTORCRAFT ICING ENVIRONMENT

- Severely damaging consequences and a threat to flight safety
- Icing on the main rotor alters the blade geometry







Current Research Methods

- Certification for icing demanding/expensive
- FIPS Part 29-C EASA/FAA
- Rigorous testing of main/tail rotors
- Data for certification can come from many sources: in-flight or experimental







Source: J. D. Lee, R. Harding, and R. L. Palko, *Documentation of ice shapes on the main rotor of a uh-1h helicopter in hover*, 1984.

In forward flight, experience a blade normal velocity which depends on the azimuthal position

$$M_n(\psi) = M_{\rm tip} \frac{r}{R} + M_\infty \sin \psi = M_{\rm tip} \left(\frac{r}{R} + \mu \sin \psi\right)$$

- The differences in the blade normal velocities combined with the requirement that the rotor does not produce pitching or rolling moments is the main challenge.
- Flapping hinge introduced eliminating the rolling moment which arises in forward flight.
 Flapping causes large Coriolis moments in the plane of rotation and the lag hinge is provided to relieve these moments. Lastly the pitching hinge allows the blade to be pitched.



- Helicopters in level forward flight involves the following unknowns:
 - Collective pitch
 - Cyclic pitch
 - Flapping and lead-lag harmonics

$$\psi = \omega t$$

$$\beta(\psi) = \beta_0 - \beta_{1s} \sin(\psi) - \beta_{1c} \cos(\psi) - \beta_{2s} \sin(2\psi) - \beta_{2c} \cos(2\psi) - \dots$$

$$\delta(\psi) = \delta_0 - \delta_{1s} \sin(\psi) - \delta_{1c} \cos(\psi) - \delta_{2s} \sin(2\psi) - \delta_{2c} \cos(2\psi) - \dots$$

$$\theta(\psi) = \theta_0 - \theta_{1s} \sin(\psi) - \theta_{1c} \cos(\psi) - \theta_{2s} \sin(2\psi) - \theta_{2c} \cos(2\psi) - \dots$$









$$C_{\rm rot} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi & -\sin\psi & 0\\ \sin\psi & \cos\psi & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad C_{\rm flap} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta & 0 & -\sin\beta\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ \sin\beta & 0 & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix}$$
$$C_{\rm lead-lag} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\delta & -\sin\delta & 0\\ \sin\delta & \cos\delta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad C_{\rm pitch} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Volumetric movement for rotation

$$C_{\rm rot} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi & -\sin\psi & 0\\ \sin\psi & \cos\psi & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Surface movement for blade kinematics

$$C_{\text{flap-leadlag-pitch}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta\cos\delta & \sin\beta\sin\theta - \cos\beta\cos\theta\sin\delta & \cos\theta\sin\beta + \cos\beta\sin\delta\sin\theta \\ \sin\delta & \cos\delta\cos\theta & -\cos\delta\sin\theta \\ -\cos\delta\sin\beta & \cos\beta\sin\theta + \cos\theta\sin\beta\sin\delta & \cos\beta\cos\theta - \sin\beta\sin\delta\sin\theta \end{pmatrix}$$



📇 iterat	ation_structure.cpp ×					
1	CIteration::~CIteration(void) { }					
	<pre>void CIteration::SetGrid_Movement(CGeometry ****geometry_container,</pre>					
	CSurfaceMovement **surface_movement,					
	CVolumetricMovement ***grid_movement,					
	CFreeFormDefBox ***FFDBox,					
	CSolver *****solver_container,					
	CConfig **config_container,					
	unsigned short val_iZone,					
	unsigned short val_iInst,					
	unsigned long IntIter,					
	unsigned long ExtIter) {					
	case ROTORCRAFT:					
	<pre>if (rank == MASTER_NODE) {</pre>					
	<pre>cout << "Updating grid into hub reference system." << endl;</pre>					
	<pre>grid_movement[val_iZone][val_iInst]->Blade_Rotation(geometry_container[val_iZone][val_iInst][MESH_0],</pre>					
	<pre>config_container[val_i2one], val_i2one, ExtIter);</pre>					
	<pre>cout << "Updating blade surfaces into blade reference systems. " << endl;</pre>					
	<pre>surface_movement[val_iZone]->Blade_Kinematics(geometry_container[val_iZone][val_iInst][MESH_0],</pre>					
	<pre>config_container[val_iZone], ExtIter, val_iZone);</pre>					
	<pre>cout << "Deforming the volume grid. " << endl;</pre>					
	grid_movement[val_iZone][val_iInst]->SetVolume_Deformation(geometry_container[val_iZone][val_iInst][MESH_0],					
	<pre>config_container[val_iZone], true);</pre>					
	grid_movement[val_iZone][val_iInst]->UpdateMultiGrid(geometry_container[val_iZone][val_iInst], config_container[val_i	[Zone]);				
	break;					











 $\mathbf{z}_3 = \mathbf{z}_4$















CONFIGURATION FILE

```
% ------ DYNAMIC MESH DEFINITION ------%
                                                                             % Coefficients of the equations of the blades pitching motion and higher harmonics
                                                                             % Format -> theta(psi) = theta 0 - theta 1s sin(psi) - theta 1c cos(psi) ...
%
% Dynamic mesh simulation (NO, YES)
                                                                             BLADE PITCH MOTION= 4.0 2.0 0.0
GRID MOVEMENT= YES
                                                                             %
                                                                             % Coefficients of the equations of the blades flapping motion and higher harmonics
%
% Type of mesh motion (NONE, FLUTTER, RIGID MOTION, FLUID STRUCTURE,
                                                                             % Format -> beta(psi) = beta 0 - beta 1s sin(psi) - beta 1c cos(psi) ...
% ROTORCRAFT)
                                                                             BLADE FLAP MOTION= 2.0 2.0 2.0
GRID MOVEMENT KIND= ROTORCRAFT
                                                                             %
                                                                             % Coefficients of the equations of the blades lead-lag motion and higher harmonics
%
% Definition of coordinate system. Defined by which axis the rotation occurs on
                                                                             % Format -> delta(psi) = delta 0 - delta 1s sin(psi) - delta 1c cos(psi) ...
% (X AXIS, Y AXIS, Z AXIS)
                                                                             BLADE LEADLAG MOTION= 0.0 -2.0 0.0
COORD SYS= Z AXIS
                                                                             %
%
                                                                             % Moving wall boundary marker(s) (NONE = no marker, ignored for RIGID MOTION)
% Angular velocity vector (rad/s) about the hub origin
                                                                             MARKER MOVING=(blade 1, blade 2)
BLADE ROTATION RATE X= 0.0
BLADE ROTATION RATE Y= 0.0
BLADE ROTATION RATE Z= 180.0
%
% Coordinates of the hub origin
HUB ORIGIN X= 0.0
HUB ORIGIN Y= 0.0
HUB ORIGIN Z= 0.0
%
% Coordinates of the first hinge origin
HINGE ORIGIN X= 0.0
HINGE ORIGIN Y= 0.0
HINGE ORIGIN Z= 0.0
%
% Blade phase offset (degrees) about the azimuth angle
% e.g. A four-bladed rotor (0 90 180 270)
BLADE PHASE X= 0.0 0.0
BLADE PHASE Y= 0.0 0.0
BLADE PHASE Z= 90.0 270.0
%
```



ROTORCRAFT ICING ANALYSIS

2010 > 2015 > 2016 > Present

- A joint venture in 2010 between the US Government and industry set out to enhance understanding of rotorcraft icing with the development and validation of high-fidelity icing analysis tools
- Two main experimental initiatives were outlined:
 - 1. A high-quality oscillating airfoil test [1]
 - 2. A spinning rotor test ^[2]
- These experimental tests were then the basis for validation of high-fidelity computational rotorcraft icing tools



^[1] Reinert, T., Flemming, R. J., Narducci, R., and Aubert, R. J., "Oscillating Airfoil Icing Tests in the NASA Glenn Research Center Icing Research Tunnel," SAE Technical Paper No. 2011-38-0016., June 2011.

^[2] Fortin, G. and Perron, J., "Spinning rotor blade tests in icing wind tunnel," 1st AIAA Atmospheric and Space Environments Conference, June 2009.



Numerical Results

1. Test Case – Run Number 36

- Icing Analysis
- Performance Analysis
- Flow Field Analysis
- Acoustic Analysis

- 2. Test Case Run Number 61
 - Icing Analysis
 - Performance Analysis
 - Flow Field Analysis
 - Acoustic Analysis

Test Case (number)	Air Speed (m/s)	α (°)	LWC (g/m^3)	Time (seconds)
Run 36	77	5±6	0.5	600
Run 62	77	5±6	0.5	900
Run 61	77	5±6	1.0	600
Run 50	132	5±6	0.5	600
Run 55	132	10±6	0.5	600
Run 57	132	10	0.5	600



TEST CASE 1 - RUN NUMBER 36

Ice Shape Analysis





Test Case 1 - Run Number 36

Performance Analysis





TEST CASE 1 - RUN NUMBER 36

Flow Field Analysis



Clean

Iced



Test Case 1 - Run Number 36







TEST CASE 2 - RUN NUMBER 61

Ice Shape Analysis





TEST CASE 2 - RUN NUMBER 61

Performance Analysis







TEST CASE 1 - RUN NUMBER 61

Flow Field Analysis



Clean

Iced



TEST CASE 2 - RUN NUMBER 61







- 1. The method for implementing the main rotor blade kinematics within SU2 now allows the possibility of simulating helicopter main rotors in forward flight.
- 2. The methods supports the multi-zone approach within SU2 so the sliding mesh can be used to simulate complex helicopter fuselage-rotor interactions in forward flight.
- 3. The collaboration within the SU2 community allows for excellent multi-disciplinary projects such as the coupling between modelling ice accretion and noise to help develop new technologies such as noise ice detection warning systems



- Validate the flow field of the resultant blade kinematics. HART-II experimental test campaign has been selected. This experimental test case will also allow us to assess the acoustics produced from the main rotor in descending flight when strong BVI is present.
- Once at a stage where there is complete verification of the method and validation of the results there will be a pull request to merge the feature_ROTORCRAFT branch into the main release branch.



Thank you for Listening



